

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Family Name</b>	Coan
<b>Given Name</b>	Adrian
<b>Person ID</b>	1287348
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Family Name</b>	Coan
<b>Given Name</b>	Adrian
<b>Person ID</b>	1287348
<b>Title</b>	JPA 19: Bamford / Norden
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	Yes
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	The Site fails to comply with PfE Objective 9 & is not consistent with NPPF chapter 8 (paragraph 95) The site is not justified & not consistent with national policy in that all the existing schools in the area are full & there isn't a proposal to build additional schools to accomodate the extrea influx of children.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	I consider that JPA19 Bamford/Norden should be removed from the PfE